

Math 833

Appell sequences of real-rooted polynomials.

Week 2

Our task for today is to motivate and prove:

Theorem 1: Let $p_k(x) = x^k + \dots$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be
an Appell sequence of polynomials: $p_{k-1}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_k(x)$

Then all polynomials $p_k(x)$ are **real-rooted**
(all their zeros are real)

if and only if

$\exists \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_2 \geq 0$ and sequence $(d_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |d_i|^2 < \infty$:

$$p_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k c_{k-m} \frac{k!}{m!} x^m = c_0 x^k + c_1 k x^{k-1} + c_2 k(k-1) x^{k-2} + \dots + c_k k!$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where (c_m) is found from

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m z^m = \exp\left(-\gamma_1 z - \frac{\gamma_2}{2} z^2\right) \cdot \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - d_i z) e^{d_i z} = \mathcal{P}_{d, \gamma}(z)$$

definition

Example 1: $\delta_1 = 1$; $\delta_2 = d_i = 0$.

$$\sum c_m z^m = e^{-z} \Rightarrow c_m = (-1)^m \cdot \frac{1}{m!}$$

$$p_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} \frac{k!}{m!(k-m)!} x^m = (x-1)^k, \quad k=0,1,2,\dots$$

Indeed, this is an Appell real-rooted sequence

Exercise 1: Compute $p_k(x)$ for the case

$\delta_1 = d_1 = 1$, all other parameters = 0. Check that you get an Appell sequence.

Exercise 2: Compute $p_k(x)$ for the case

$\delta_1 = 2$, $d_1 = d_2 = 1$, all other parameters = 0. Check that you get an Appell sequence.

Example 2: We take $\delta_2 = 1$, all other parameters = 0.

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_m z^m = \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right) \Rightarrow C_m = \begin{cases} 0, & m \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{(-1)^{m/2}}{2^{m/2} (\frac{m}{2})!}, & m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

$$P_K(x) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq K \\ K-m \text{ is even}}} (-1)^{\frac{K-m}{2}} \frac{K!}{(K-m)! m! 2^{(K-m)/2}} \cdot x^m$$

Comparing with [Week 1, Slide 9],
we conclude that these are **Hermite polynomials**.

Corollary: Hermite polynomials $H_K(x)$ are real-rooted

and satisfy $H_{K-1}(x) = \frac{1}{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_K(x)$

[Follows from Theorem 1 and Example 2, but there are
also direct proofs in the orthogonal polynomials literature]

History of Theorem 1: Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik | Volume 1914: Issue 144

- First appearance
over 100 years ago:

Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der Theorie
der algebraischen Gleichungen.

Von Herrn G. Pólya in Budapest und Herrn J. Schur in Bonn.

At that point mathematicians were very much interested in locations of zeros of polynomials and more general functions and their behavior under various algebraic operations.

- Recently rediscovered
in the context of
general β random matrix
theory (is coming later in this class)

arXiv.org > math > arXiv:1905.08684

Mathematics > Probability

[Submitted on 21 May 2019 (v1), last revised 20 Aug 2020 (this version, v2)]

The boundary of the orbital beta process

Theodoros Assiotis, Joseph Najnudel

Parameters $\alpha_i, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ in theorem 1 might look mysterious. However, they have an asymptotic interpretation.

Theorem 2 Let $P_N(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (x - a_i^N)$ be the Appell sequence corresponding to $\Phi_{\alpha, \gamma}$ in theorem 1.

Assume that both a_i^N and α_i are ordered by decreasing magnitude ($i=1$ corresponds to the ones with largest $| \cdot |$)

Then: $\alpha_i = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_i^N}{N}, i = 1, 2, \dots$

existence of limits is a part of theorem 2

$$\gamma_1 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{a_i^N}{N} \quad [\text{"mean"}]$$

$$\gamma_2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{a_i^N}{N} \right)^2 - \alpha_i^2 \right] \quad [\text{"variance"}]$$

Note that it is possible to have

$$\gamma_1 \neq \sum \alpha_i$$

and

$$\gamma_2 \neq 0 \quad !$$

Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. [you can skip the proof in
the first reading]

Step 1: Take an arbitrary Appell sequence of
real-rooted polynomials $P_N(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (x - a_i^N)$.

We aim to show that the three limits in theorem 2
exist and, hence, we can use them to define $(\delta_i, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$

Notation: $e_m^{(N)} = e_m(a_1^N, \dots, a_N^N) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m} a_{i_1}^N \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_m}^N$
("elementary symmetric functions")

Vieta's formulas say that:

$$(*) \quad P_N(x) = x^N - e_1^{(N)} x^{N-1} + e_2^{(N)} x^{N-2} - \dots + (-1)^m e_m^{(N)} x^{N-m} + \dots$$

Now choose $K < N$. Differentiating (*) we get

$$P_K(x) = \left(\frac{K!}{N!} \right) \left[\frac{N!}{K!} x^K - \frac{(N-1)!}{(K-1)!} e_1^{(N)} x^{K-1} + \dots + (-1)^m \frac{(N-m)!}{(K-m)!} e_m^{(N)} x^{K-m} + \dots \right]$$

normalization making leading coef. of $P_K(x)$ equal to 1

$$= x^K - \frac{K}{N} e_1^{(N)} x^{K-1} + \dots + (-1)^m \frac{K(K-1)\dots(K-m+1)}{N(N-1)\dots(N-m+1)} e_m^{(N)} x^{K-m} + \dots$$

Now fix K and start growing N . $P_K(x)$ does not change! Hence, for each $m=1,2,\dots$

$$\frac{e_m^{(N)}}{N(N-1)\dots(N-m+1)}$$

does not depend on N

Sending $N \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that there exists a limit:

$$C_m := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^m \frac{e_m^{(N)}}{N^m}$$

Let us restate that in the language of generating functions.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} c_m z^m &= \sum_{m \geq 0} z^m \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m} \left(-\frac{a_{i_1}^N}{N} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(-\frac{a_{i_m}^N}{N} \right) = \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(1 - z \frac{a_i^N}{N} \right) \left[= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{z}{N} \right)^N \cdot P_N \left(\frac{N}{z} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

↑ term-wise limit of a series

Taking logarithm, we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \ln \left(1 - z \frac{a_i^N}{N} \right) \right) &= \\ &= \exp \left(-z \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{a_i^N}{N} - \frac{z^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N} \right)^2 - \frac{z^3}{3} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N} \right)^3 - \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: Each power sum $\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N} \right)^m$ should converge as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1: Each power sum $\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right)^m$ converges as $N \rightarrow \infty$ to a finite number p_m if and only if **(**)**

$$\exists \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_i^N}{N} = \delta_i, \quad \exists \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{a_i}{N} = \gamma_1, \quad \exists \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{a_i}{N}\right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i^2 = \gamma_2$$

and we have $p_1 = \gamma_1$, $p_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i^2 + \gamma_2$, $p_m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\delta_i)^m$, $m > 2$

Proof of Lemma: First, assume that 3 limits **(**)** exist. Then:

- $\sum_i \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right) \rightarrow \gamma_1 = p_1$ directly by the 2nd limit
 - $\sum_i \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right)^2 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i^2 + \gamma_2$ directly by the 2nd and 3rd limits
 - $\sum_i \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right)^m \rightarrow \sum_i (\delta_i)^m$ because each individual term a_i/N converges towards δ_i and we have a tail bound
- $$\left| \sum_{i>I} \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right)^m \right| < \left| \frac{a_I^N}{N} \right|^{m-2} \sum_{i>I} \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } I \rightarrow \infty \text{ by 1st and 2nd limits}$$

In the opposite direction, suppose that all power sums converge. Hence, each sequence

$\left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right), N=1,2,\dots$ is bounded.

Therefore, we can pass to a subsequence N_k , such that all $\frac{a_i^{N_k}}{N_k}$ converge to some numbers d_i .

Then we can use the first part of the proof to link d_i to limits of power sums. We conclude that the limits d_i are uniquely fixed by limits of power sums. Therefore, all subsequential limits of $\left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right)$ are the same and, hence, the sequence $\left(\frac{a_i^N}{N}\right), N=1,2,\dots$ converges, which finishes the proof.

[some details of this argument are missing and I leave it to the students to fill them in] 

Back to the proof of theorems. Using **Lemma 1**, we get the desired existence of the limits

$$d_i = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_i^N}{N}, \quad \delta_1 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{a_i^N}{N}, \quad \delta_2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{a_i^N}{N} \right)^2 - d_i^2 \right].$$

Step 2 We now establish the formula for $p_n(x)$ corresponding to $(d_i, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ as in **Theorem 1**.

Continuing slide 8:

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} C_m \cdot z^m = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(-z \sum \frac{a_i^N}{N} - \frac{z^2}{2} \sum \left(\frac{a_i^N}{N} \right)^2 - \dots \right) =$$

$$= \exp \left(-z \delta_1 - \frac{z^2}{2} (\delta_2 + \sum_i d_i^2) - \frac{z^3}{3} (\sum_i d_i^3) - \dots \right) =$$

$$= \exp \left(-z \delta_1 - \frac{z^2}{2} \delta_2 \right) \cdot \exp \left(\sum_i \left[\ln(1 - z d_i) + z d_i \right] \right) = \mathcal{P}_{d, \delta}(z)$$

Hence, continuing slide 7, we have

$$p_k(x) = x^k - k c_1 x^{k-1} + k(k-1) \cdot c_2 \cdot x^{k-2} - \dots$$

which matches the formula of **theorem 1**.

Step 3. The only thing which remains to prove is that if we construct polynomials $p_k(x)$ according to the formulas of **theorem 1**, then they form an Appell sequence (simple exercise from definition) and they are all real-rooted (needs an argument).

For that choose a sequence $p^{(N)}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (x - a_i^{(N)})$
[It does not have to be an Appell sequence]

so that the 3 limits of **theorem 2** exist and give the desired $(d_i, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$

Lemma 2: If $P(x)$ is a real-rooted polynomial,
then $\frac{d}{dx} P(x)$ is also real-rooted.

Proof: Suppose that $P(x)$ has $N = \deg(P(x))$ real roots.

Then for each pair of adjacent roots $a < b$
 $P'(x)$ has a root on segment (a, b) — in the point
of maximum/minimum of $P(x)$ on the segment.
Hence, $P'(x)$ has $N-1$ real roots. Since its degree
is $N-1$, all roots are real.

[Some additional details are needed in the case when
some of the roots of $P(x)$ coincide — left to the students] 

Now **define** $P_k(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k!}{N!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{N-k} P^{(N)}(x)$

- By continuity of differentiation, $P_k(x)$ form an Appell sequence: $P_{k+1}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_k(x)$
- By **Lemma 2** and continuity of the property, $P_k(x)$ are real-rooted.
- By **Step 2** of the proof polynomials $P_k(x)$ match those of **theorem 1** corresponding to $\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(z)$.



Theorems 1 and 2 are proven!

Summary: The proof is based on the following scheme

- Approximate infinite system (Appell sequence) by derivatives of degree N polynomial
 - Investigate how parameters of this polynomial (roots a_i^N) should vary as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in order to have a well-defined limit
 - Identify the limit and get a "nice" formula for it
-

The scheme of proof of a classification theorem through approximations will be repeated many times in this class.

It is called "ergodic method".

Analysis of the answer in Theorem 1:

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \gamma} = \exp\left(-\gamma_1 z - \gamma_2 \frac{z^2}{2}\right) \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - d_i z) e^{d_i z}$$

Is constructed from 3 basic blocks

$$\Phi = \exp(-z) \quad \Phi = \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right) \quad \Phi = (1-z) e^z$$

[We investigated corresponding polynomials on slides 2-3]
by rescaling of z -variable and multiplications.

Question: What is the meaning of these two operations in terms of polynomials?

The first one is elementary:

Theorem 3: Suppose that $P_k(x)$ is an Appell real-rooted sequence corresponding to $\Phi(z)$.

Then $c^k P_k\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)$ is the sequence corresponding to $\Phi(cz)$

Proof: Clearly, $c^k P_k\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) = c^k \left(\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^k + \dots \right) = x^k + \dots$ are monic. Further, they are real-rooted (roots are multiplied by c). They are also Appell:

$$\frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} c^k P_k\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) = c^k \cdot \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{k} P_k'\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) = c^{k-1} P_{k-1}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)$$

Finally, the series expansion coefficients of $\Phi(cz)$ are related to those of $\Phi(z)$ via:

$$C_k^{\Phi(cz)} = c^k \cdot C_k^{\Phi(z)}$$

Comparing with Theorem 1, we see the same transformation in $P_k \rightarrow c^k P_k\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)$ 

Multiplication of $P(z)$ is a more complicated operation.

Definition: Finite free convolution is a binary operation on polynomials. Given $P_N(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (x - a_i)$ and $\tilde{P}_N(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (x - b_i)$ in outputs $(P_N \oplus \tilde{P}_N)(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (x - c_i)$, such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^N (x - c_i) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N (x - a_i - b_{\sigma(i)})$$

permutations of N elements

Theorem 4: If $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ are real, then so are $\{c_i\}$.

We will not prove this theorem in class. However, it was this preservation of real-rootedness, which motivated the first study of this operation ≈ 100 years ago.

Theorem 5: Let $P_k(x)$ and $\hat{P}_k(x)$ be Appell sequences corresponding to $\Phi(z)$ and $\hat{\Phi}(z)$ as in Theorem 1.

Then $[P_k \oplus \hat{P}_k](x)$ is the Appell sequence corresponding to $\Phi(z) \cdot \hat{\Phi}(z)$

We postpone the proof till midsemester homework

Example 3: If $P_k(x)$ corresponds to $\Phi(z)$, then $P_k(x - \delta)$ corresponds to $e^{-\delta z} \Phi(z)$

[Theorem 5 applied to $\hat{\Phi}(z) = e^{-\delta z}$ using Example 5 and Theorem 3]

Exercise 3: Verify Theorem 5 on Exercises 1, 2 by showing that finite free convolution of polynomials in Exercise 1 with themselves gives polynomials in Exercise 2.