

Math 740 Shifted Schur Functions Lecture 23

So far: **homogeneous** symmetric polynomials

This week: their remarkable **inhomogeneous** versions

Definition: Okun'kov, A. Yu.; Ol'shanskij, G. (A. Okounkov, G. Olshanski)
Shifted Schur functions. (English. Russian original) [Zbl 0894.05053](#)
St. Petersburg. Math. J. 9, No. 2, 239-300 (1998); translation from Algebra Anal. 9, No. 2, 73-146 (1997).

$$S_{\mu}^*(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{\det [(x_i + N - i \downarrow \mu_j + N - j)]_{i,j=1}^N}{\det [(x_i + N - i \downarrow N - j)]_{i,j=1}^N}$$

$\mu \in Y$

where

falling factorial power is

$$(x \downarrow n) = x(x-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x-n+1)$$

$$(x \downarrow 0) = 1$$

Basic properties:
$$S_{\mu}^*(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{\det [(x_i + N - i \mid \mu_j + N - j)]_{i,j=1}^N}{\det [(x_i + N - i \mid N - j)]_{i,j=1}^N}$$

- Numerator is skew-symmetric in variables $\{x_i + N - i\}$ of degree $|\mu| + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$

- Denominator is $\prod_{i < j} [(x_i + N - i) - (x_j + N - j)]$

- S_{μ}^* is symmetric in $\{x_i + N - i\}$ of degree $|\mu|$

- $S_{\mu}^*(x_1, \dots, x_N) = S_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_N) + (\text{terms of lower degree})$
Inhomogeneous deformation of Schur polynomials

- At $N=1$: $x, x(x-1), x(x-1)(x-2), x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3), \dots$
 $\uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow$
 $S_{(1)}^*(x) \quad S_{(2)}^*(x) \quad S_{(3)}^*(x) \quad S_{(4)}^*(x)$

At $N=1$ we observe interpolation property

Lemma: $s_{(k)}^*(x) = x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1)$ is a unique polynomial of degree k , with leading coefficient 1, and such that its values at $x=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ all vanish.

Proof: A monic degree k polynomial $x^k + a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + a_0$ is uniquely fixed by its values at (arbitrary) k points $\square$

These polynomials show up in interpolation problem:

Given $f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n)$, find a polynomial $g(x)$ of degree $\leq n$, such that $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

More generally we can try to construct a degree n polynomial approximation of a function by its values in $(n+1)$ points $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Lemma: Given $n+1$ values $g(0), \dots, g(n)$, there is a unique polynomial $g(x)$ of degree $\leq n$, taking these values.

Proof: We have $(n+1)$ non-degenerate linear equations on $(n+1)$ coefficients of polynomial $g(x)$. □

In practice, there are two popular algorithms.

• Lagrange interpolation
$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n g(i) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(x-j)}{(i-j)}$$

Advantages: explicit and simple formula

Disadvantages: numerically unstable; when n is increased, need to recompute from scratch.

• Newton interpolation

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n g_k \cdot x(x-1) \dots (x-k+1)$$

Advantages: better numerics; only one new coefficient added when we increase n (others stay the same)

Disadvantages: Less explicit g_k — either through divided differences or recurrently.

Recurrent Newton interpolation: $g^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n g_k \cdot x(x-1) \dots (x-k+1)$

Start from $g^{(0)}(x) = g(0)$. Given $g^{(n)}(x)$, define:

$$g^{(n+1)}(x) = g^{(n)}(x) + (g^{(n+1)} - g^{(n)}(n+1)) \frac{x(x-1) \dots (x-n)}{n!}$$

Outcome: $g^{(n)}(x)$ has desired values at $0, 1, \dots, n$.

Conclusion: $N=1$ versions of S_n^* are useful in (Newton) polynomial interpolation because of their **vanishing property**.

Coming next: Same is true for $N>1$ for **symmetric polynomial interpolation**.

"Interpolation property of shifted Schur polynomials"

Theorem: Fix N for $\lambda \in Y \cap GT_N$ denote $S_\mu^*(\lambda) = S_\mu^*(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$.
 For each $\mu \in Y \cap GT_N$, $S_\mu^*(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is a **unique** polynomial, such that:

- A: S_μ^* is symmetric in $\{x_i + N - i\}$ "shifted-symmetric"
- B: S_μ^* has degree $|\mu|$
- C: $S_\mu^*(\lambda) = 0$ for all λ such that $|\lambda| \leq |\mu|$, $\lambda \neq \mu$
- D: $S_\mu^*(\mu) = H(\mu) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \mu} h(i,j)$  $h(2,1) = 4$

Proof: We start by showing that S_μ^* as defined on Slide 1 satisfies A-D. We already know A and B.

For C we are going to prove an even stronger statement:

$S_\mu^*(\lambda) = 0$ whenever $\mu_i > \lambda_i$ for at least one $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Note that $(a \vee b) = 0$ if $b > a \geq 0$

Consider the matrix $\left[(\lambda_i + N - i \vee \mu_j + N - j) \right]_{i,j=1}^N$

If $\mu_k > \lambda_k$, then also $\mu_j > \lambda_i$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, $i = k, \dots, N$.

Hence, the matrix has $k \times (N - k + 1)$ corner of zeros and its determinant vanishes.

For D we need to compute the determinant

$$\det \left[(\mu_i + N - i \vee \mu_j + N - j) \right]_{i,j=1}^N = \prod_{i=1}^N (\mu_i + N - i)!$$

(because the matrix is triangular)

$$\text{Hence, } S_{\mu}^*(\mu) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (\mu_i + N - i)!}{\prod_{i < j} (\mu_i + N - i - (\mu_j + N - j))} \stackrel{\text{HW 3, Problem 1.}}{=} H(\mu)$$

Next, we need to show that S_μ^* is uniquely determined by A-D. Let f_μ be a polynomial satisfying A-D and set $g = f_\mu - S_\mu^*$.

Then g is a shifted-symmetric polynomial of degree $k = |\mu|$ and such that $g(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda: |\lambda| \leq k$.

Note that S_ν^* , $|\nu| \leq k$, form a linear basis in the vector space of such polynomials (because their highest degree component is S_ν). Thus, we can expand

$$g = \sum_{\lambda: |\lambda| \leq k} C^\lambda \cdot S_\lambda^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Plug $x_1 = \lambda_1, \dots, x_n = \lambda_n$ to get $0 = C^\lambda \cdot H(\lambda)$ by C, D, inductively in $|\lambda|$

Hence, $g \equiv 0$ and $f_\mu = S_\mu^*$, as desired. $\square$

Recall that previously all symmetric polynomials had $N=\infty$ variables versions. How about δ_n^* ?

They belong to a different algebra!

Definition: Λ^* is a projective limit of algebras

$\Lambda^*(N)$ of shifted-symmetric polynomials in x_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$
 (= symmetric in $\{x_i + N - i\}$ = symmetric in $\{x_i - i\}$)
 with respect to projections $\Lambda^*(N) \xrightarrow{p_N} \Lambda^*(N-1)$ setting $x_N \rightarrow 0$
 and in the category of graded (by degree) algebras.

Element of Λ^* is a sequence $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots)$
 with $p_k(f_k) = f_{k-1}$ and $\sup_k \deg(f_k) < \infty$

Exercise: $\Lambda^* = \mathbb{R}[p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots]$ with $p_k^* = \sum_{i \geq 1} [(x_i - i)^k - (-i)^k]$

Stability theorem: $S_\mu^* \in \Lambda^*(N)$ agree with projections:

$$S_\mu^*(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0) = \begin{cases} S_\mu^*(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}), & \ell(\mu) \leq N-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, $(0, 0, \dots, S_\mu^*(x_1, \dots, x_N), S_\mu^*(x_1, \dots, x_{N+1}), \dots)$ defines
a shifted-symmetric function $S_\mu^* \in \Lambda^*$.

Proof:

$$S_\mu^*(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{\det [(x_i + N - i \mid \mu_j + N - j)]_{i,j=1}^N}{\det [(x_i + N - i \mid N - j)]_{i,j=1}^N} \quad (*)$$

Assume $\mu_N = 0$ and set $x_N = 0$.

The last row is $(0, 0, \dots, 1)$; from the i -th row we can factor $(x_i + N - i)$, for each $1 \leq i \leq N-1$.
Remains to pass to $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ minors in $(*)$ to get $(N-1)$ expression.

Next, assume $\mu_N > 0$ and set $x_N = 0$.

Numerator in (*): last row is $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \Rightarrow \det = 0$

Denominator in (*): $\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j - i + j)$ does not vanish identically when $x_N = 0$

Therefore, the ratio (*) vanishes, as desired $\square$

Most properties of S_λ extend to S_λ^* with slight modifications

- Combinatorial formula (inhomogeneous)
- Jacobi-Trudy formula (with shifts)
- Involution of Λ^* mapping S_λ^* to $S_{\lambda'}^*$
- Explicit generating functions for $h_k^* = S_{C_k}^*$ and $e_k^* = S_{(1^k)}^*$
(Need to compute $\sum \frac{h_k^*}{(u \vdash k)}$ and $\sum \frac{e_k^*}{(u \vdash k)}$)

See Okounkov-Olshanski article for the details.