

Math 740 Orthogonality in Λ Lecture 8

Task: to develop $N=\infty$ version of the scalar product on Λ_N of Lecture 5.

Difficulty: It is hard to integrate in infinite-dimensional spaces. Hence, we need another way.

Reminder: $\sum_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}(x_1, x_2, \dots) S_{\lambda}(y_1, y_2, \dots) = \prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$

We plan to use this formula to define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on Λ

Before we do that, let us develop **two more** expansions of the right-hand side

Theorem: $\sum_{\lambda \in Y} m_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots) h_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots) = \sum_{\lambda \in Y} \frac{1}{z_{\lambda}} p_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots) p_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots) = \prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$
[$z_{\lambda} = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} \cdot m_i!$ $m_i = \# \text{ parts } i \text{ in } \lambda$]

Proof: $\prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 + h_1(y_{1,\dots}) x_i + h_2(y_{1,\dots}) x_i^2 + \dots)$

Each monomial $x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdot x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{\lambda_n}$ appears in the expansion of RH exactly once.

Its coefficient is $h_{\lambda_1}(y_{1,\dots}) h_{\lambda_2}(y_{1,\dots}) \cdot \dots = h_{\lambda}(y_{1,\dots})$

Hence, $\prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x_1, x_2, \dots) h_{\lambda}(y_1, y_2, \dots)$

Also $\prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \prod_{i \geq 1} \exp\left(p_1(y_{1,\dots}) x_i + \frac{1}{2} p_2(y_{1,\dots}) x_i^2 + \dots\right)$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{p_k(y_{1,\dots}) p_k(x_1, x_2, \dots)}{k}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{k \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{p_k(y_{1,\dots}) p_k(x_{1,\dots})}{k} + \left(\frac{p_k(y_{1,\dots}) p_k(x_{1,\dots})}{k}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2!} + \dots\right)$$

Multiplying, we see the desired $\sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{z_{\lambda}} p_{\lambda}(x_{1,\dots}) p_{\lambda}(y_{1,\dots})$



Theorem: The following 4 definitions of scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on Λ are equivalent

1. $\langle h_\lambda, m_\mu \rangle = \langle m_\mu, h_\lambda \rangle = \delta_{\lambda=\mu}$

2. $\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda=\mu} \cdot z_\lambda$

3. $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda=\mu}$

4. For any two linear bases of Λ $\{v_\lambda\}, \{u_\lambda\}$,
such that $\sum_{\lambda \in Y} v_\lambda(x_1, \dots) u_\lambda(y_1, \dots) = \prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$ $[\lambda \in Y]$

we have $\langle v_\lambda, u_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda=\mu}$.

Proof Take $\{v_\lambda\}$, $\{u_\lambda\}$ as in 4. and **define**

$$\left\langle \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}, \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda} u_{\lambda} \right\rangle := \sum_{\lambda \in Y} c_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}$$

Further, take **another pair** $\{\hat{v}_\lambda\}$, $\{\hat{u}_\lambda\}$ as in 4.

We need to show $\langle \hat{v}_\lambda, \hat{u}_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda=\mu}$

For that expand $\hat{v}_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda}^{\mu} v_{\mu}$ $\hat{u}_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} b_{\lambda}^{\mu} u_{\mu}$

We know: $\sum_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots) u_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots) = \sum_{\lambda} \hat{v}_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots) \hat{u}_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots)$
 $= \sum_{\mu, p} v_{\mu}(x_1, \dots) u_p(y_1, \dots) \cdot \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}^{\mu} \cdot b_{\lambda}^p$

Therefore, $\sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}^{\mu} \cdot b_{\lambda}^p = \delta_{\mu=p}.$

Now we can compute $\langle \tilde{V}_\mu, \tilde{u}_p \rangle = \langle \sum_\lambda a_\mu^\lambda v_\lambda, \sum_j b_p^j u_j \rangle$
 by def. of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

$$\sum_\lambda a_\mu^\lambda b_p^\lambda = \delta_{\mu=p} \quad \text{as desired.}$$

Since we already know that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\lambda S_\lambda(x_1, \dots) S_\lambda(y_1, \dots) &= \sum_\lambda h_\lambda(x_1, \dots) w_\lambda(y_1, \dots) = \sum_\lambda w_\lambda(x_1, \dots) h_\lambda(y_1, \dots) \\ &= \sum_\lambda p_\lambda(x_1, \dots) \cdot \frac{p_\lambda(y_1, \dots)}{z_\lambda} = \sum_\lambda u_\lambda(x_1, \dots) v_\lambda(y_1, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

This argument shows the equivalence of 1-4. $\square$

Corollary: The involution w is an isometry,
 which means $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle w(f), w(g) \rangle$

Proof. w maps an orthonormal basis S_λ to an
 orthonormal basis $S_{\lambda'}$ $\square$

Corollary: (alternative definition of Schur functions)

$\{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in Y}$ is a unique linear basis in Λ
such that:

1) $S_\lambda = m_\lambda + (\text{lower degree terms})$
[can use lexicographic or dominance order on Y]

2) $\langle S_\lambda, S_\mu \rangle = 0$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$.

Proof: By Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure such basis is unique. And we already know that Schur functions satisfy these properties. $\square$

Remark: In order to use this characterization, one should start by defining $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ either through
 $\langle h_\lambda, m_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda=\mu}$ or $\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda=\mu} z_\lambda$

Corollary: If we define χ_p^λ and $\tilde{\chi}_p^\lambda$ through expansions

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} S_\lambda = \sum_p \chi_p^\lambda \frac{P_p}{z_p} \\ P_p = \sum_\lambda \tilde{\chi}_p^\lambda S_\lambda \end{array} \right] \text{ then } \chi_p^\lambda = \hat{\chi}_p^\lambda$$

Proof Scalar product of 1st identity with P_0

gives $\langle S_\lambda, P_0 \rangle = \chi_\lambda^0$

Scalar product of 2nd identity with S_μ

gives $\langle S_\mu, P_p \rangle = \tilde{\chi}_p^\mu \quad \square$

Reminder: In Lecture 7 we discussed that χ_p^λ is the value of character of irred. rep λ of S_n on conjugacy class p .