

# Math 740

# Lecture 3

$\Lambda_N$  - symmetric polynomials in  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$

$\Lambda$  - symmetric functions in  $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$   
= symmetric power series of bounded degree

Sometimes we also deal with series in  $\Lambda$

$\Lambda[[t]]$  - all series of the form  $f_0 + tf_1 + t^2f_2 + \dots$   
in  $\Lambda$     in  $\Lambda$     in  $\Lambda$

$\hat{\Lambda}$  - all series of the form  $g_0 + g_1 + g_2 + \dots$   
in  $\Lambda^0$     in  $\Lambda^1$     (degree 2) in  $\Lambda^2$

These all are algebras:

One can add and multiply their elements

Three families of algebraic generators of  $\Lambda$

$$1. \quad e_k = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_k} = M(1^k)$$

elementary symmetric functions

$$e_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} e_{\lambda_i}$$

each  $f \in \Lambda$  has a unique decomposition  
 $f = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda \cdot e_\lambda$  (see last lecture)

Their generating function

$$E(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k t^k = 1 + e_1 t + e_2 t^2 + \dots$$

Lemma:  $E(t) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 + t x_i)$

Proof: Open the paranthesis and compute the coefficient of  $t^k$  matching the definition of  $e_k$   $\square$

2.  $h_k = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k} x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_k} = \sum_{\lambda: |\lambda|=k} M_\lambda$   
 complete homogeneous symmetric functions

Their **generating function**

$$H(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k t^k = 1 + h_1 t + h_2 t^2 + \dots$$

Lemma:  $H(t) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - t x_i)^{-1}$

Proof:  $\prod (1 - t x_i)^{-1} = \prod (1 + t x_i + t^2 (x_i)^2 + t^3 (x_i)^3 + \dots)$

Open the parenthesis and compute the coefficient of  $t^k$  matching the definition of  $h_k$   $\square$

$$E(t) = \prod (1 + tx_i) \quad H(t) = \prod (1 - tx_i)^{-1}$$

Corollary:

$$E(t)H(-t) = 1, \text{ which means}$$

$$\text{For each } n > 0 \quad \sum_{k=0}^n h_k e_{n-k} (-1)^k = 0 \quad (*)$$

$$e_n - h_1 e_{n-1} + h_2 e_{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n h_n = 0$$

Exercise: (\*) implies that  $\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{with notation } e_0 = h_0 = 1 \\ e_{-k} = h_{-k} = 0, k < 0 \end{array} \right]$

$$e_n = \det (h_{\pm i + j})_{i,j=1}^n \quad h_n = \det (e_{\pm i + j})_{i,j=1}^n$$

Definition:  $w: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  is an algebra homomorphism such that  $w(e_n) = h_n$

Theorem:  $w^2 = \text{Id}$  and, therefore,  $w$  is an automorphism

Proof:  $w = w^{-1}$  due to symmetry of (\*) under  $e_i \leftrightarrow h_i$ .  $\square$

Corollary:  $h_\lambda$  are algebraic generators of  $\Lambda$   
 $h_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} h_{\lambda_i}$ . Each  $f \in \Lambda$  has a unique decomposition

$$f = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} \cdot h_{\lambda}$$

Proof)  $w(f) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda}$ , apply  $w(\cdot)$  to both sides  $\square$

---

3.  $p_k = \sum_{i \geq 1} (x_i)^k$  (Newton) power sums

Their generating function

$$P(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{p_k t^k}{k} = p_1 t + \frac{p_2}{2} t^2 + \frac{p_3}{3} t^3 + \dots$$

Lemma:  $\exp(-P(t)) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - x_i t)$

Proof of Lemma:

$$\exp(-P(t)) = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \left(-x_i t - \frac{x_i^2 t^2}{2} - \frac{x_i^3 t^3}{3} - \dots\right)\right)$$

$$\ln(1-y) = -y - \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{y^3}{3} - \dots$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \ln(1-x_i t)\right) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1-x_i t). \quad \square$$

Corollary:  $\exp(P(t)) = H(t) \quad (\text{I})$

$$\exp(-P(-t)) = E(t) \quad (\text{II})$$

Proof: (I) =  $\prod (1-x_i t)^{-1}$ ; (II) =  $\prod (1+x_i t)$ .  $\square$

Theorem (Newton identities)

For each  $k \geq 1$

$$k e_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} p_i e_{k-i}$$

$$k h_k = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i h_{k-i}$$

Proof of Newton identities:

Differentiate (II) to get

$$P'(-t) \exp(-P(-t)) = E'(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e_k t^{k-1}$$

" use (II) again

$$P'(-t) E(t)$$

"

$$\left( \sum_{k \geq 1} p_k t^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1} \right) \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k e_k \right)$$

Comparing the coefficient of  $t^{k-1}$  in both sides we prove the first Newton identity.

The second one is proven in the same way  $\square$

## Corollary of Newton identities:

$p_1, p_2, \dots$  are algebraic generators of  $\Lambda$

$p_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} p_{\lambda_i}$ , each  $f \in \Lambda$  has a unique decomposition

$$f = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} \cdot p_{\lambda}$$

Proof: We can rewrite the second identity

as  $h_k = \frac{p_k}{k} + \text{polynomial of } (p_1, \dots, p_{k-1})$

Hence, plugging into  $f = \sum c_{\lambda} \cdot h_{\lambda}$ , we get the desired decomposition.

Other way round, we also have  $p_k = k h_k + \text{polynomial of } (h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$

Hence, if  $p_k$  were algebraically dependent, so would be  $h_k$ .  
Therefore, decomposition is unique.  $\square$

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{R}[e_1, e_2, \dots] = \mathbb{R}[h_1, h_2, \dots] = \mathbb{R}[p_1, p_2, \dots]$$

$$= \langle m_\lambda \rangle_{\lambda \in Y}$$

Remark 1: Project  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_N$  by  $p_{\infty, N}$   
 (setting  $x_{N+1} = x_{N+2} = \dots = 0$ )

- $e_{N+k} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $k > 0$
- $m_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , if  $l(\lambda) > N$
- $\{h_k\}$  and  $\{p_k\}$  become **dependent**

Remark 2:  $m_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \dots]$ ,  $m_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \dots]$   
 But  $m_\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}[p_1, p_2, \dots]$  in general

Example:  $\sum_{i < j} x_i x_j = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{integer coefficients}}}{e_2} = h_1^2 - h_2 = \frac{1}{2} (p_1^2 - p_2)$   
 $\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   
need to divide

Recall the involution  $w: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$

$$w(e_k) = h_k, \quad w(h_k) = e_k$$

What about  $p_k$ ?

Proposition:  $w(p_k) = (-1)^{k-1} p_k$

Proof: Act with  $w$  on the first Newton identity and compare the result with the second Newton identity



# Application of Newton identities by

(perhaps, the oldest use of theory)  
of symmetric functions

Task: Compute  $\zeta(2k) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{2k}}$



Portrait by Jakob Emanuel Handmann (1753)

**Born** 15 April 1707  
Basel, Switzerland  
**Died** 18 September 1783 (aged 76)  
[OS: 7 September 1783]  
Saint Petersburg, Russian Empire

Starting point: (\*)  $\frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right)$

can be proven through, e.g.:

- complex analysis — comparing zeros
- Fourier analysis — expanding  $\cos(x)$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  in the sum of  $\cos(nx)$  and  $\sin(nx)$

We take (\*) for granted

Expand  $\sin(\pi x)$  in series and set  $t = -x^2$ , converting (\*) into:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\pi^2 t)^k}{(2k+1)!} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 + t \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} t + \frac{\pi^4}{120} t^2 + \frac{\pi^6}{5040} t^3 + \dots$$

Take variables  $x_i = \frac{1}{i^2}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Then  $RHS = E(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} l_k t^k \Rightarrow l_k = \frac{\pi^{2k}}{(2k+1)!}$

Task: compute  $p_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\bullet \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = p_1 \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} l_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

Newton identity

$$\bullet \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = p_2 = -2l_2 + p_1 l_1 = -2l_2 + (l_1)^2 = -2 \frac{\pi^4}{120} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right)^2 = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$$

•  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^6} = \rho_3 \stackrel{\text{Newton identity}}{=} 3e_3 - e_2 p_1 + p_2 e_1 =$

$$= 3 \cdot \frac{\pi^6}{5040} - \frac{\pi^4}{120} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{\pi^4}{90} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{6} = \frac{\pi^6}{945}$$

•  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^8} = \dots$  Exercise: compute this!